1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

DAVID L. REED,

Plaintiff,

NORTH LAS VEGAS POLICE DEPTARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-01847-APG-DJA

ORDER

Presently before the court is *pro se* prisoner David L. Reed's Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 24), filed on June 25, 2020. He subsequently filed a second Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 25) on July 9, 2020. To date, no response has been filed. Defendants' failure to file points and authorities in response to either motion "constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion." LR 7-2(d). Further, the Court finds good cause to grant the amendment, but as his subsequent filing supersedes his first filing, the Court will deny as moot his first request and only review his second request.

Rule 15(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, regarding the amendment of pleadings, directs that "[t]he court should freely give leave when justice so requires." The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has repeatedly cautioned courts in this circuit to "liberally allow a party to amend its pleading." Sonoma Cnty. Ass'n of Ret. Emps. v. Sonoma Cnty., 708 F.3d 1109, 1117 (9th Cir. 2013). "Courts may decline to grant leave to amend only if there is strong evidence of 'undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, or futility of amendment, etc." Id. at 1117 (quoting Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)).

Here, Plaintiff seeks to add two defendants in the Third Amended Complaint – Laura Dennison and Jordan Ooms – and additional factual allegations related to their conduct as police officers. (ECF No. 25-1). The Court previously screened Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint and permitted his claims to proceed as set forth in its Order (ECF No. 18) on March 25, 2020. Now, Plaintiff has submitted his Third Amended Complaint, which the Court will permit to proceed for the same reasons, along with summons and USM-285 Forms.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 24) is **denied as moot**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 25) is **granted**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall issue the Summons to Defendants and deliver the same to the U.S. Marshal for service. The Clerk of the Court shall also deliver a copy of the third amended complaint (ECF No. 25-1) to the U.S. Marshal for service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 1. Within twenty days after receiving from the U.S. Marshal a copy of the Form USM-285, showing whether service has been accomplished, Plaintiff must file a notice with the court identifying whether defendant was served. If Plaintiff wishes to have service again attempted on an unserved defendant, a motion must be filed with the Court identifying the unserved defendant and specifying a more detailed name and/or address for said defendant, or whether some other manner of service should be attempted.
- 2. Pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, service must be accomplished within 90 days from the date this order is entered.
- 3. From this point forward, Plaintiff shall serve upon Defendants, or, if appearance has been entered by counsel, upon the attorney(s), a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document submitted for consideration by the court. Plaintiff shall include with the original papers submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to Defendants or counsel for Defendants. The Court

Case 2:18-cv-01847-APG-DJA Document 26 Filed 07/28/20 Page 3 of 3

may disregard any paper received by a District Judge or Magistrate Judge that has not been filed with the Clerk, and any paper received by a District Judge, Magistrate Judge, or the Clerk that fails to include a certificate of service.

DATED: July 28, 2020

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE